DILLON 1 SCHOOL DISTRICT PO Box 644 Lake View. SC 29563 PK-12 GRADES 919 Students ENROLLMENT Stephen Laird 843-759-3001 SUPERINTENDENT Earl Gleason Jr. BOARD CHAIR 843-464-3730 FISCAL AUTHORITY Appointed Legislative Delegation THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 2 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Average	N/A

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our District

Districts with Students like Ours

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Districts with Students like Ours

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our Distric	ct	Districts with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	50.8	44.0	45.6	63.9	58.1	58.0	
Passed 2 subtests	18.5	12.0	16.2	16.6	17.6	19.2	
Passed 1 subtest	10.8	21.3	14.7	10.6	15.1	13.6	
Passed no subtests	20.0	22.7	23.5	8.9	9.2	9.0	

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIPS								
Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours							
5.3	8.4							
5.3	8.5							
47.4	42.4							
	Our District 5.3 5.3							

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

Migrant

Non-migrant

Full-pay meals

English Proficiency
Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

PACT PERFORMANC	E BY GR							/\
	,	DUP Rent Testing	Rested olo Bi	alon Basic	/.c. /	Proficient of	Advanced of Profi	cient and stranged
	dir	VELL LES	rester/	ONL	Basic of	Profile	PGAST SOL	cie, Anauc
	Em C	394 010	0/0		0/1	0/0	0/0/	* s
			Er	nglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	424	98.8	46.0	35.6	16.8	1.6	18.4	17.6
Gender		00.0	50.0	07.0	40.0		40.0	47.0
Male -	217	98.6	58.8	27.3	12.8	1.1	13.9	17.6
Female	207	99.0	33.3	43.5	21.0	2.2	23.1	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								1= 0
White	199	99.0	35.1	36.3	25.1	3.5	28.7	17.6
African-American	213	98.6	55.2	35.4	9.4		9.4	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander		0.0						17.6
Hispanic	4	100.0						17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	8	100.0						17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	370	99.2	38.8	40.0	19.4	1.9	21.3	17.6
Disabled	54	96.3	88.9	9.3	1.9		1.9	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant		0.0						17.6
Non-migrant	424	98.8	46.1	35.4	16.9	1.6	18.5	17.6
English Proficiency								
imited English proficient	3	100.0						17.6
Non-limited English proficient	421	98.8	46.0	35.5	16.9	1.6	18.5	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	324	98.8	54.3	35.4	10.4		10.4	17.6
Full-pay meals	99	99.0	21.5	35.5	36.6	6.5	43.0	17.6
					matics			
All students	424	99.1	39.4	38.3	13.6	8.8	22.3	15.5
Gender								
Male	217	98.2	42.6	37.8	11.2	8.5	19.7	15.5
Female	207	100.0	36.4	38.5	16.0	9.1	25.1	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	199	98.5	24.6	42.1	18.1	15.2	33.3	15.5
African-American	213	99.5	52.1	35.1	9.8	3.1	12.9	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander		0.0						15.5
Hispanic	4	100.0						15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	8	100.0						15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	370	99.5	34.8	39.4	15.5	10.2	25.8	15.5
Disabled	54	96.3	66.7	31.5	1.9		1.9	15.5
Migrant Status								

Abbreviations for Missing Data

39.5

39.6

47.9

14.0

38.1

38.0

39.7

33.3

13.6

13.6

9.6

25.8

8.8

8.8

2.8

26.9

22.4

22.5

12.4

52.7

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

0.0

99.1

100.0

99.0

99.1

99.0

424

421

324

99

3

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enro	1840 olo	, olo 8.	3, 0/0	0/0	0/0	olo bio
		/	,	English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	61		21.3	52.5	24.6	1.6	26.2
	Grade 4	70		50.7	32.8	14.9	1.5	16.4
2	Grade 5	71		43.3	43.3	11.9	1.5	13.4
2002	Grade 6	52		38.8	36.7	20.4	4.1	24.5
	Grade 7	82		46.2	43.6	10.3		10.3
•	Grade 8	73		44.4	34.7	19.4	1.4	20.8
	Grade 3	50	100.0	26.7	24.4	48.9		48.9
	Grade 4	72	100.0	30.2	47.6	17.5	4.8	22.2
8	Grade 5	81	97.5	61.4	27.1	11.4		11.4
2003	Grade 6	82	98.8	38.6	37.1	20.0	4.3	24.3
	Grade 7	62	96.8	55.6	38.9	5.6		5.6
	Grade 8	77	100.0	56.9	36.1	6.9		6.9

			M	athematic	cs		
▲ Grade 3	61		31.1	44.3	18.0	6.6	24.6
Grade 4	70		53.7	25.4	11.9	9.0	20.9
S Grade 5	71		50.7	31.3	6.0	11.9	17.9
Grade 5 Grade 6	52		55.1	32.7	8.2	4.1	12.2
Grade 7	82		56.4	23.1	14.1	6.4	20.5
Grade 8	73		51.4	40.3	6.9	1.4	8.3
▲ Grade 3	50	100.0	15.6	40.0	20.0	24.4	44.4
Grade 4	72	100.0	31.7	42.9	14.3	11.1	25.4
g Grade 5	81	97.5	54.9	28.2	11.3	5.6	16.9
Grade 5 Grade 6	82	98.8	34.3	38.6	15.7	11.4	27.1
Grade 7	62	98.4	52.7	41.8	3.6	1.8	5.5
Grade 8	77	100.0	40.3	40.3	16.7	2.8	19.4

STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

		Percentage of students scoring in the upper half, 2002							
	Reading		Language		Math		Total		
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0	
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0	
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0	

^{*} Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

				Percent of students scoring						
			Advanced		Proficient		Basic		Below Basic	
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibility Scholar	for LIFE ships*	Graduat	Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%		
All Students	57	87.7%	57	5.3%	61	82.0%		
Gender								
Male	33	93.9%	30	3.3%	33	90.9%		
Female	24	79.2%	27	7.4%	28	71.4%		
Race or Ethnic Group								
African American	29	79.3%	30	3.3%	34	70.6%		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
White	28	96.4%	27	7.4%	27	96.3%		
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Disability Status								
Non-speech disabilities	2	I/S	0	N/A	4	I/S		
Students without disabilities	55	90.9%	57	5.3%	0	87.7%		
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	57	5.3%	0	N/A		
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Non-LEP	56	89.3%	57	5.3%	60	83.3%		
Lunch Status								
Subsidized meals	32	84.4%	38	2.6%	7	99.0%		
Full-pay meals	24	95.8%	19	10.5%	54	35.2%		

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Verbal		Ma	ath	Total		
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	414	440	430	452	844	892	
State	488	493	493	496	981	989	
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026	

ACT	Eng	lish	Ma	ıth	Rea	ding	Scie	nce	To	tal
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
District	17.3	16.8	18.8	17.0	17.5	16.6	16.8	16.6	18.0	16.8
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

2002	2003

Lake View Elementary Yes

es Yes

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

DISTRICT PROFILE				
	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	
Students (n= 919)				
First graders who attended full-da kindergarten	•	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	1.6%	Down from 4.0%	1.0%	4.0%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards	96.2% N/A	Down from 97.3% N/A	95.4% N/A	95.4% N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	4.8% N/A	Up from 4.2% N/A	7.5% N/A	10.7% N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speecl	N/A n 7.8%	N/A Down from 8.0%	N/A 10.4%	N/A 10.6%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	6.2% 0.7%	Down from 6.4% Down from 4.9%	6.5% 1.2%	5.5% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	6.0% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.0% N/A
Enrolled in adult education GED of diploma programs	or 13	Up from 0	91	186
Completions in adult education G or diploma programs	ED 3	Up from 0	21	40
Teachers (n= 59)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	33.9% 86.4%	Up from 30.2% Up from 69.8%	44.4% 81.4%	47.8% 82.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous	N/A year 87.6%	N/A Up from 87.0%	N/A 87.5%	N/ <i>A</i> 89.5%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.0% \$37,070	Up from 95.0% Up 1.3%	95.1% \$37,509	95.1% \$39,707
Prof. development days/teacher	14.0 days	Up from 13.0 days	13.4 days	11.3 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district Student-teacher ratio	12.0 33.6 to 1	Up from 11.0 Up from 26.4 to 1	3.0 21.6 to 1	3.0 20.6 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.0% \$6,895	Down from 90.1% Up 2.8%	88.9% \$6,889	89.0% \$7,412
Percent spent on teacher salaries Opportunities in the arts	* 53.6% Poor	Up from 51.8% Down from Good	55.3% Good	56.0% Excellen
Parents attending conferences Number of schools	99.0% 3	No change No change	92.6% 5	96.1% 8
Number of magnet schools Number of charter schools	0 0	No change No change	0 0	(
Portable classrooms Average age in years of school fa	0.0% cility 34	No change N/A	0.8% 30	3.5% 26
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	0	N/A	4	3
* Prior year audited financial data are reporte	d.	Our D	istrict S	State
Highly qualified teachers in low po	overty schools			N/A
Highly qualified teachers in high p	overty schools	N	/A	N/A

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership

7 trustees appointed

Fiscal Authority

Appointed Legislative Delegation

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 2.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

The Dillon District One Schools are committed to excellence for all students in all areas. Achieving this goal has been particularly difficult the past two years. State budget cuts of more than \$200,000 each year have stretched our ability to provide the services our students need and deserve. Fortunately our community has stepped forward to assist in offsetting some of these losses. Even with this help we have been forced to cut a teaching position each year. We hope a better economy will mean better times for the schools and our community.

All of our schools have worked extremely hard to meet the needs of our students. Teachers have participated in workshops and brought those ideas back to their classrooms. Two of our teachers, Ms. Sharon Williams and Ms. Lisa Tyson-Cox, received National Board Teaching Certification this year. These ladies are to be congratulated on their hard work, which will translate into increased learning for their students.

To help our students reach the standards set by the state, we again had after school and summer school programs for the students most in need. The teachers and students in these programs should be commended for the many extra hours spent working to improve. Our district received over \$350,000 in grants to do renovations at Lake View Elementary School and for special education needs at all three schools. In partnership with the Latta schools we receive a federal technology grant for \$400,000. The grants do not take the place of basic services but allow us to improve our facilities and technology.

It would be impossible to list all the individual achievements of our students. The most noteworthy include eighteen seniors who received LIFE Scholarships as well as numerous individual college scholarships.

Our commitment to excellence reaches beyond academics. Our band repeated their success of last year by placing in the top five in the lower state competition. Four of our athletic teams reached the playoffs. Our football team played for the state championship and our baseball team reached the lower state finals.

The Dillon One Schools cannot succeed without the contributions of parents and community. We thank you for all you have done and ask that you continue your support.

Stephen Laird, Superintendent

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal